
Subject: The Mysterious Underground Internet

Having trouble viewing this email? www.mignolet.com



In This Issue

[Evidence from Warrantless Wiretaps](#)
[Is there a "Dark Web"](#)



For more than three decades Jean Mignolet has served in law enforcement and private investigation, managing all aspects of general investigative business. She specializes in in-depth background investigations, and is the top choice of attorneys, corporations, small business owners and individuals who require all types of investigative services.

For further information

Jean ,

Ignorance is bliss?

Oh, yes, here we go with the "what you don't know can't hurt you" syndrome.

Recently, the NSA had a hearing on the violation of privacy of US Citizens. At that hearing, Mike Rogers, the House Intelligence Committee Chairman said the "you can't have your privacy violated if you don't know that your privacy is *being* violated." Wait, I have to take a breath here.

Mr. Rogers interrogated Stephen Vladeck, an American University College of Law professor regarding NSA and surveillance programs and the

visit: www.Mignolet.com

Contact us at:
investigators@mignolet.com
or call us at: 954-523-8737

Quick Links

[Our Website](#)

[Services](#)

[Join Our Mailing List!](#)

Evidence from Warrantless Wiretaps



For the first time, Federal prosecutors have notified a criminal defendant that evidence obtained from a warrantless wiretap will be used against him. Consequently, the Supreme Court is supposed to set up a test as to what extent eavesdropping is unconstitutional.

Jamshid Muhtoroy was charged in Colorado in January of 2012 for providing material to the Islamic Jihad Union, a designated terrorist group in Uzbekistan.

Muhtoroy, accused of planning a trip to join militants, pleaded not guilty. The majority of the criminal complaint that was filed against him was based on intercepted e-mails and phone calls.

Counsel for Mr. Muhtoroy argued with the court that the evidence was derived from an unconstitutional

Congressman stated:

Maybe the fact that we haven't had any complaints come forward with any specificity arguing that their privacy has been violated clearly indicates that in 10 years something must be being done exactly right.

To which Mr. Vladeck replied, "But who would be complaining?" To which Rogers stated, "If I peek into the windows at the sorority house and they don't find out, the police can't arrest me, right?" (What has Mr. Rogers been doing in his spare time?)

It seems absurd that he is basically stating that if a victim doesn't know they have been violated and photographed/videotaped while they are undressing, and you have not yet sent those photos/videos out yet, then no crime was committed.

Wait, it gets better. He then asked "if a tree falls in the forest and nobody hears it, a) has it made a sound, and b) does the NSA have their people on it to pick up on advances in coniferous intelligence operations?"

Are you laughing or crying or are you still simply in amazement?

Ponder that thought!

Jean Mignolet

A reminder that prior issues of the newsletter are viewable from [my website](#).

surveillance, setting forth judicial review of eavesdropping.

In June, Solicitor General Donald B. Verilli, Jr., realized that the department's National Security Division did not notify criminal defendants that warrantless eavesdropping was taking place; and naturally none of the defendants knew they had the right to challenge the warrantless wiretapping.

Mr. Verrilli's testimony of a case in 2008 was contradicted and challenged the FISA Amendments Act of 2008. President Bush's administration had incorporated a program of warrantless surveillance, and it was authorized by the government. Americans could be wire-tapped and have their emails read without a court order providing that the TARGET of the surveillance was a foreigner abroad.

Amnesty International challenged the law as unconstitutional, but the Supreme Court dismissed the case because the plaintiffs weren't able to prove they were wire-tapped.

Therefore, a defendant facing evidence taken from wire-tapping could stand firm on the law. Therefore, Amnesty International's case was dismissed by a 5-4 vote. The government's late recognition that it gave notice to criminal defendants that they were under the sweeping

Is there a "Dark Web?"

Did you know that you could buy crystal meth online? I guess if you had that inclination and were surfing the web, you would have discovered a marketplace where you could find drugs, weapons, credit card information and even contract killers.

Apparently, it has been going on for some time; but just this October the FBI shut down "[Silk Road](#)," one the website marketplaces.

TOR is a program that allows people to "*anonymously*" surf the web without having to identify themselves. Even their network traffic is hidden.

These websites are hosted anonymously and only open to people on the TOR network since the activity is totally hidden from the mainstream Internet.

surveillance law proved to avoid judicial review for five years.

Also back in June, in Fort Lauderdale and Chicago, prosecutors relayed to plaintiffs that they (plaintiffs) didn't have to divulge information if the evidence uncovered from warrantless wiretapping was in conflict with what the Justice Department had told the Supreme Court. This was such a huge issue that The New York Times reported on it.

After reading the Times article, Mr. Verrilli wanted explanations from the National Security Division. At that time he learned of the "division's practice of narrowly interpreting its need to notify defendants of evidence derived from warrantless wiretapping."

So the debate reached an even deeper and darker level which continued through June and July. Operational concerns were brought to the forefront and the issue that if defendants know more they can tell their foreign cohorts that they are being "bugged" thus causing a strong reluctant attitude toward sharing important information. Later trials could be impacted by this reluctance.

Arguing that there is no legal basis to conceal evidence from defendants, Mr. Verrilli argued that point, ultimately moving the National Security Division to

Silk Road
anonymous marketplace

messages(0) | orders(0) | account

Shop by category:
Drugs(752)
Cannabis(280)
Ecstasy(35)
Dissociatives(11)
Psychedelics(84)
Opioids(62)
Stimulants(53)
Other(107)
Benzos(70)
Lab Supplies(6)
Digital goods(98)
Services(48)
Money(55)
Weaponry(15)
Home & Garden(14)
Food(4)
Electronics(5)
Books(49)
Drug paraphernalia(28)
XXX(30)
Medical(3)
Computer equipment(4)
Apparel(4)
Musical instruments(2)
Tickets(1)
Forgeries(13)

5 Marijuana Butter Chocolate Chip...
\$8.53

4mg. TIZANIDINE (zanaflex) x25
\$2.09

US customers only
Express...
\$2.79

4 x 20MG Original Lily Cialis
\$7.85

{1g} High-grade Crystal Meth...
\$11.95

Mindfood - Protect your brain!...
\$3.69

to US 1/4 lb (sp) BC Master Kush...
\$121.37

How to Grow Mushrooms
\$0.14

Mushroom Indoor Growing - Easy...
\$0.29

It's no surprise that there are at least one million customers on TOR, and Silk Road sales were through the roof to the tune of \$1.2 billion. If you were wondering how their customers pay for all of this without being tracked, it would not be through PayPal. It's with BITCOIN.

Now you are wondering what is

BITCOIN.

It's an anonymous person-to-person digital currency system based on cryptography principles.

change its practice.

How many other cases are like this, we simply don't know. However, will these actions open the door to other cases being reopened? I guess we will have to wait and see.

Although Silk Road is no longer out there, other online black marketplaces are already taking its place. Again, these sites are run the same way, and customers need a *BITCOIN* wallet installed on their computers which then allow them to obtain 'bitcoins' from "Bitcoin exchanges," such as *MT. GOX* and *BITSTAMP*.

These transactions are stored permanently in these "wallets" created by customers and are public. Consequently, anyone can view information such as the dates, times, recipient of transfers and the amount of the transaction. However, the consumer is able to utilize an alternate email address, thus increasing their anonymity.

Even though it is difficult to track and investigate, just knowing the email addresses, law enforcement can piece together these transactions.

Forensic investigation at its best!



[Forward email](#)

